



D. Rochman

# General remarks related to the evaluation procedures





- A short history JEFF-3.3T1
- Basic Rules for JEFF-3.3 (neutron) evaluations
- Examples to avoid: Au and Cd
- Conclusion



http://www.psi.ch/stars — 2016.25.04/STARS/RD41 - ( 2 / 6

# A very short history and feedback

- A new set of evaluations were collected to produce JEFF-3.3T0 and T1:
  - T0: February 26, 2016
  - T1: March 1st, 2016
- Change from T0 to T1 was due to a mistake in <sup>52</sup>Cr.
- The list of isotopes for T1 was circulated among the CG members in February 2016
- No remarks were received about these choices from the CG and T1 was released.

- Since then, a few comments from the JEFF community were received for:
  - <sup>197</sup>Au (JEFF-3.3T1: copy of ENDF/B-VII.1)
  - Cd isotopes (JEFF-3.3T1: copy of ENDF/B-VII.1)
  - natC



ttp://www.psi.ch/stars — 2016.25.04/STARS/RD41 - ( 3



## Basic Rules for JEFF-3.3 (neutron) evaluations

#### • Reminder:

- Being processable with NJOY
- All-important channels included,
- Include in the MF1 the maximum of description,
- Evaluation from 0 to 200 MeV (at least) in the ENDF-6 format,
- Include processable covariances.

#### • If new measurements are included:

- To be done with an evaluator,
- Use recent files ENDF files, or original ones,
- Do not include high quality measurements in an incomplete (old) file

p://www.psi.ch/stars — 2016.25.04/STARS/RD41 - ( 4 / 6

## PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

## Examples of "old" habits: 197Au and Cd

### • <sup>197</sup>Au

- In JEFF-3.2, new measurements from IRMM from 0 to 100 keV,
- They were included in an existing file, with multiple updates since ENDF/B-VI,
- The new MF32 can not be processed with existing tools.

#### • Cd isotopes

- In JEFF-3.2, new measurements from IRMM
- They were included in old, incomplete ENDF files (e.g. no MF6),
- As a consequence, these files were not kept in JEFF-3.3T1, despite the high quality IRMM data.
- -> lost effort and work, because the evalution step was improper.
- -> possible additionnal work and time to rescue these data.



tp://www.psi.ch/stars 2016.25.04/STARS/RD41 - ( 5 /

## Conclusion

- The evaluation procedure should not be neglected,
- It can not be done by an experimentalist, or by a specialist on processing, benchmarking...
- It has to be done by an evaluator,
- Consequences:
  - Lost of time,
  - Lost of valuable data,
  - Frustration & incomprehention
- Only one solution: work with evaluators!



http://www.psi.ch/stars